

## U.S. Naval Vessel Historical Evaluation

### FINAL DETERMINATION

This evaluation is unclassified

<b>Hull Name</b>	<b>Hull Number</b>
CONCORD	AFS-5
<b>Vessel Class</b>	<b>Previous Vessel Designation (if any)</b>
MARS (AFS-1)-class combat store ship	N/A
<b>Vessel Location</b>	<b>Current Status</b>
NAVSEA Inactive Ships On-Site Maintenance Office, Pearl Harbor, HI	Stricken, to be disposed of

<b>Initial Evaluation Date</b>	<b>Initial Finding</b>
25 January 2011	Ineligible
<b>Final Evaluation Date</b>	<b>Eligibility for Listing to the National Register of Historic Places</b>
29 March 2011	Ineligible

### Vessel Snapshot

<b>Displacement</b>	17,098 (full load)
<b>Length</b>	581 feet
<b>Beam</b>	79 feet
<b>Draft</b>	28 feet
<b>Speed</b>	20 knots
<b>Propulsion</b>	Steam turbines, single screw
<b>Armament</b>	Eight 3-inch guns (four twin mounts, as commissioned)
<b>Aircraft</b>	Two helicopters
<b>Laid Down</b>	26 March 1966
<b>Launched</b>	17 December 1966
<b>Built By</b>	National Steel and Shipbuilding Co., San Diego, CA

<b>Sponsor</b>	Mrs. Ulysses S. Grant Sharp, wife of ADM U. S. G. Sharp, USN, Commander in Chief, Pacific
<b>Delivered</b>	21 November 1968
<b>Commissioned</b>	27 November 1968
<b>Decommissioned</b>	15 October 1992; the first of five MARS-class AFS to be transferred to MSC.
<b>Inactivated</b>	18 August 2009
<b>Stricken</b>	18 August 2009

### Vessel History

<b>Deployments</b>	Mediterranean; no Vietnam service; Pacific Fleet, Indian Ocean service with MSC.
<b>Awards</b>	4 MUC (3 of which were to the commands to which CONCORD was attached at the time), 6 AFEM, 3 AFSM, 1 NESM, 1 SWASM, 1 SecNav ltr of comm., 3 Navy E
<b>Noteworthy Accomplishments</b>	Provided emergency assistance to Tunisia, 9-14 October 1969, delivering 140,000 pounds of food, blankets, and medicine, and providing inoculations against typhus and typhoid. Participated in DESERT SHIELD and ENDURING FREEDOM
<b>DANFS* Entry</b>	<i>DANFS</i> history needs to be written; original hard-bound published volume (C-F) in which CONCORD would have appeared, was published prior to the ship's service.

\**Dictionary of American Naval Fighting Ships*

### Criteria Evaluation<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Evaluation conducted using criteria established for U.S. naval vessels in *Program Comment for the Department of Navy for the Disposition of Historic Vessels*, issued by the Advisory Council for Historic Preservation on 15 March 2010.

<b>i. Was the ship awarded an individual Presidential Unit Citation? (A Presidential Unit Citation is awarded to military units that have performed an extremely meritorious or heroic act, usually in the face of an armed enemy.)</b>	No
<b>ii. Did an individual act of heroism take place on board the ship such that an individual was subsequently awarded the Medal of Honor or the Navy Cross? (The Medal of Honor is awarded for valor in action against an enemy force. The Navy Cross is awarded for extraordinary heroism in action not justifying an award of the Medal of Honor.)</b>	No
<b>iii. Was a President of the United States was assigned to the ship during his or her naval service?</b>	No
<b>iv. Was the ship the first to incorporate engineering, weapons systems, or other upgrades that represent a revolutionary change in naval design or warfighting capabilities?</b>	No
<b>v. Did some other historic or socially significant event occur on board?</b>	No
<b>Assessment of integrity of (as appropriate) design, materials, workmanship, feeling and/or association</b>	Not applicable
<b>Historical Evaluation Conclusion</b>	Ineligible

<b>Sources</b>	Command History Reports 1969-1977, 1979-1990 (final command history not received); Naval Vessel Registers and Armament Summaries on file in the History and Archives Division, Naval History and Heritage Command.

---

**Historic Preservation Stakeholder Comment**

Historic preservation stakeholder comments received are considered when preparing final determinations. The initial determination for this vessel was made available for comment by historic preservation stakeholders for 60 days. During that time, the Navy received no written comments.